

DCP PROJECT PRELIMINARY REPORT OF MONITORING FINDINGS

Name	e of Clinical Site:	Date(s) of Site Visit:				
Principal Investigator:		Westat Team Monitor:				
NCI I	Protocol Number:	DCP Representative(s) Present:				
Instr 1.	of the monitori	ng categories, indicate the final assessment for each of the 3 components ng visit. Informed Consent Findings:				
	Acceptable:	No deficiencies identified. Few minor deficiencies identified. Major deficiencies identified during the site visit that were addressed and/or corrected prior to the site visit for which documentation exists and no further action is required.				
	Acceptable, Follow-up:	Multiple minor deficiencies identified. Major deficiencies identified during the site visit, but not corrected and/or addressed prior to the site visit.				
	Unacceptable:	Multiple major deficiencies identified. A single major flagrant deficiency found. Excessive numbers of minor deficiencies found.	flagrant deficiency found.			
2.	Assessing the Accountability of Investigational Agents and Pharmacy Operations:					
	Acceptable:	Compliance found for security, drug accountability record forms completed correctly, protocol and drug-specific usage and/or return of study drug in DCP repository. Non-compliant items identified during the site visit that were addressed and/or corrected prior to the site visit for which documentation exists and no further action is required.				
	Acceptable, Follow-up	Category found non-compliant during the site visit which was not corrected and/or addressed prior to the site visit.				
	Unacceptable: agents.	Inability to track the disposition of NCI/DCP supplied investigational is. Multiple non-compliant categories identified.				
3.	Review of Participant	Records:				
	Acceptable:	No deficiencies identified. Few minor deficiencies identified. Major deficiencies identified during the site visit that were addressed and/or corrected prior to the site visit for which documentation exists and no further action is required.				
	Acceptable, Follow-up:	Multiple minor deficiencies identified. Major deficiencies identified during the site visit, but not corrected and/or addressed prior to the site visit				

REPORTIN	IG DEFICIENCIES
Directions:	For each participant chart reviewed, record the total number of deficiencies (major or lesser) for each category. If there were no major or lesser deficiencies identified for a particular category, record a zero (0) in the appropriate cell.
Number of participant	cases reviewed:
Comments:	

the participant cases reviewed.

Multiple major deficiencies identified.
A single major flagrant deficiency found.
Multiple minor deficiencies of a recurring nature found in a majority of

DEFICIENCY CATEGORY	Major	LESSER	COMMENTS
Disease Outcome			
Eligibility			
General Data Quality			
IRB			
Informed Consent			
Pharmacy			
Toxicity			
Treatment			
Total			

June 2008 G-3

Unacceptable:

DCP PROJECT

CLINICAL SITE ANNUAL (INTERIM) VISIT REPORT

SITE INFORMATION

Instructions:	Please provide the requested information for each of the items listed below. Provide comments whenever necessary or helpful.
Name of Clinical Site:	
Protocol Name:	
NCI Protocol Number:	
Date(s) of Visit:	
Conducted by:	
DCP Representative(s)	Present:

Clinical Site Personnel Present at the Visit:

NAME	TITLE	PRESENT AT DEBRIEFING (Y/N)
	Principal Investigator	
	Site Coordinator	
	Pharmacist	
	Other	

Additional Comments:

REGULATORY REVIEW

Instructions:

Please provide the requested information for each of the items listed below ("Y" = Yes, "N" = No, "N/A" = Not applicable). Please provide comments whenever

necessary or helpful.

DOCUMENTS AND STORAGE	Y	N	N/A	COMMENTS
Copy of the protocol and all pertinent amendments on file				
2. Initial IRB/IEC approval of protocol				
3. IRB/IEC approval of most recent protocol amendments				
4. Annual IRB/IEC renewal of protocol				
5. IRB/approved consent form and all form revisions on file				
6. Adverse Event Safety reports submitted to IRB/IEC				
7. Serious Adverse Event reports submitted to CCSA				
8. Copy of one of the following IRB/IEC compliance documents: IRB/IEC roster, DHHS Number, or Assurance Number				
Research records stored in a secure area				
10. Form FDA 1572 current				
11. Laboratory certification up to date				
12. Copy of normal range values for each laboratory used				
13. Investigator's Brochure(s) on file and securely stored				
14. Site Monitoring Visit log up to date				
15. Site Signature/Delegation of Responsibilities form up to date				
DCP approval on file of all protocol versions				
17. Supporting documentation including Medical Licenses and CVs current				
18. Training Logs available listing Human Subject Protection Training for all staff listed on the site signature/delegation of responsibilities form				

Additional comments:

RECORD REVIEW AND SUMMARY

Instructions:	Write the patient identification number for each chart reviewed in column one
	Record the visit week to begin review for a specific patient in the second column

Record the last visit reviewed for the specific patient in the third column. In the summary table, provide the requested information for each of the items listed ("Y" = Yes, "N" = No). Please provide comments whenever helpful or necessary.

Total # of Charts Reviewed: _____

Participant(s) REVIEWED (ID #)	BEGAN REVIEW (AT WEEK)	TO VISIT (INCLUSIVE)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS FOR SITE		Y	N	N/A	COMMENTS
	MONITORED CASES				
1.	100% of informed consents				As Of :/
	appropriately obtained and				
	documented				
2.	Participant eligibility verified				
3.					
4.	Adverse Events (including SAEs)				
	appropriately documented and				
	reported				
	Endpoints correctly reported				
6.	Clinical events (e.g., change in patient				
	status, concurrent illness) and				
	concomitant meds recorded on CRFs				
7.	Clinical and laboratory evaluations				
	obtained as per protocol				
8.	Laboratory samples correctly collected				
	and shipped/stored/evaluated				
9.	Source documents and CRFs indicate				
	compliance with protocol treatment				
	and blinding procedure, if applicable				
10.	Protocol deviations noted and reported				
	as needed				
11.	DCP OC-RDC data recorded				
	accurately when compared to source				
	documents and CRF entries				

Additional comments:

SITE OPERATIONS ASSESSMENT

Instructions: Please provide the requested information for each of the items listed below ("Y"

= Yes, "N" = No, "N/A" = Not applicable). Please provide comments whenever

necessary or helpful.

ITEMS EVALUATED	Y	N	N/A	COMMENTS
Adequate resources (e.g., facilities, staffing)				
2. Internal quality assurance activities				
3. Participant accrual and retention				
4. Database for study-specific procedures				
5. RDC training records for all staff entering or reviewing study data in DCP OC-RDC				

٨	ddition	പി	omi	mar	160

<u>STATUS OF PAST FINDINGS</u>: (Have corrections been made to errors that were identified previously?)

<u>DISCUSSION OF CURRENT FINDINGS WITH STAFF</u>: (Include problems identified, if any, and recommendations/action items for corrections.)

TRAINING CONDUCT personnel present at the			training per	formed and	names of site
DISCUSSION OF MON	ITORING ACTIV	VITIES AT PA	RTICIPATIN	<u>G SITES</u> : (Inc	elude problems
identified, if any, and red	commendations/ac	ction items for o	corrections.)		
ADDITIONAL COMMI	ENTS/IMPRESSION	ONS OF SITE	PERFORMAN	NCE:	
Prepared by:		Date:			
(Signature)		Duic.			